

Physical Load During Recreational Activities in Kinesiology Students

Petek, Paola

Undergraduate thesis / Završni rad

2024

Degree Grantor / Ustanova koja je dodijelila akademski / stručni stupanj: **University of Split, Faculty of Kinesiology / Sveučilište u Splitu, Kineziološki fakultet**

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://um.nsk.hr/um:nbn:hr:221:313897>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](#) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2025-01-05**



Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Split](#)



Contemporary Kinesiology: Active Lifestyle : 7th International Scientific Conference : Split, 18th - 20th of July [2024.] : Proceedings Book

Other document types / Ostale vrste dokumenata

Publication year / Godina izdavanja: **2024**

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:221:793096>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](#)/[Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-09-12**



Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Split](#)



CONTEMPORARY KINESIOLOGY: ACTIVE LIFESTYLE

**7th International Scientific Conference
Split, 18th – 20th of July**



PROCEEDINGS BOOK



**7th International Scientific
Conference
“Contemporary
Kinesiology: Active
Lifestyle”**

PROCEEDINGS

EDITORS:

Goran Kuvačić
Toni Modrić
Šime Veršić

Split, July 18–20, 2024.

7TH INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE
“CONTEMPORARY KINESIOLOGY: ACTIVE LIFESTYLE”

PROCEEDINGS

PUBLISHER:

Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Split,
Nikole Tesle 6, 21000 Split, Croatia.

PUBLISHED:

2024.

FOR THE PUBLISHER:

Frane Žuvela, dean

EDITORS:

Goran Kuvačić
Toni Modrić
Šime Veršić

EDITOR IN CHIEF:

Goran Kuvačić

TECHNICAL EDITOR:

Toni Modrić

ISSN

1847-0149

Authorship statement

Author(s) confirms that the above-named article is an original work, did not previously published or is currently under consideration for any other publication

CONFERENCE PRESIDENT

Asst. Prof. Goran Kuvačić, PhD

CONFERENCE VICE-PRESIDENT

Prof. Frane Žuvela, PhD

CHAIR OF THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Assoc. Prof. Ognjen Uljević, PhD

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Asst. Prof. Šime Veršić, PhD

Asst. Prof. Boris Milavić, PhD

Asst. Prof. Toni Modrić, PhD

Asst. Prof. Nikola Foretić, PhD

Asst. Prof. Ante Rađa, PhD

Prof. Tatjana Trivić, PhD

Prof. Marin Čorluka, PhD

Asst. Prof. Ivana Klaričić, PhD

Assoc. Prof. Tomislav Rupčić, PhD

Prof. Gheorghe Balint, PhD

Asst. Barbara Gilić Škugor, PhD

Prof. Goran Leko, PhD

Assoc. Prof. Miran Pehar, PhD

Prof. Sanja Mandarić, PhD

CHAIR OF THE ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Prof. Marko Erceg, PhD

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Prof. Goran Gabrilo, PhD

Assoc. Prof. Ana Penjak, PhD

Assoc. Prof. Mia Perić, PhD

Prof. Patrik Drid, PhD

Prof. Ivana Čerkez, PhD

Asst. Prof. Mirela Šunda, PhD

Prof. Mario Baić, PhD

Asst. Prof. Dario Novak, PhD

Asst. Petra Rajković Vuletić

Asst. Prof. Srna Jenko Miholić, PhD

Prof. Siniša Kovač, PhD

Prof. Vlatko Nedelkovski, PhD

Prof. Maja Pajek, PhD

Asst. Vladimir Pavlinović

Partners & Sponsors & Supporters



FPMOZ



Physical Load During Recreational Activities in Kinesiology Students

Paola Petek¹, *Hrvoje Karninčić¹, Goran Gabrilo¹

¹Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Split, Split, Croatia

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Recreational activities are important in physical activity because they are healthier than competitive sports. Outdoor leisure activities (in nature) are crucial because, in addition to the physical benefits, we also have mental benefits. The activities are very diverse, as are the participants, and the problem is to determine in detail which activity is suitable for whom. 47 kinesiology students participated in 5 recreational trips (two mountaineering trips, rafting, kayaking, and canyoning). After each tour, they completed the Rate of Perceived Exertion - RPE questionnaire. Students perceived Mosor and Canyoning as the most difficult. Women did not differ from men in terms of perceived exertion, but they perceived kayaking as more difficult (MWU, $Z=1.7$, $p=0.09$). The most difficult section (mountaineering Mosor) was the easiest for active athletes, while non-athletes and ex-athletes perceived it significantly harder (MWU, $Z=103.5$, $p<0.01$). Climbing Mosor is the longest tour and canyoning the most demanding, and the respondents perceived them as such. Kayaking is more strenuous for women because of the frequent changes in aerobic and anaerobic energy systems and because of the strong endurance of the upper body. Unlike sports, recreation should be in the comfort zone, and when choosing an activity, care should be taken that the activity is appropriate for the participants.

Keywords: Borg scale, Athletes, Recreationists, Mountain climbing, Rafting

INTRODUCTION

Recreation is an important part of physical activity, especially because competitive, elite or commercial sports bring more health problems than benefits (Dohlsten, Barker-Ruchti, & Lindgren, 2021). Recreational activities related to nature are particularly interesting because, in addition to numerous physical benefits, they also have a positive impact on an individual's mental health (Thomsen, Powell, & Monz, 2018). The group of such activities includes various hiking or biking tours, kayaking, rafting, canyoning, various forms of rowing and sailing. Given the breadth of this content, different skills are required of participants depending on the type of leisure activity. It is very important that the content suits the participants, as research shows that exertion is closely linked to motivation for leisure activities (Balamutova, 2014). Additionally,

the health aspect of physical activity is most pronounced in moderate-intensity physical activity (Lee & Paffenbarger, 2000). The question arises as to what would be the optimal loads during recreational activities.

Kinesiology students are a specific sample, they should be associated with sports, but they come from different sports and play sports at different levels (beginners, active, former, elite...). This problem was noticed way back in 1977 when the standards of recreational activities were being developed in the USA (Carter, 1977). The question arises as to which recreational activities would be suitable for students and whether this could apply to all students. Measuring load in sports activities is very complex, there are numerous sophisticated methods (Gómez-Carmona, Bastida-Castillo, Ibáñez,

& Pino-Ortega, 2020; Nigg, Denoth, & Neukomm, 1981). Most of these methods are expensive or cannot be carried out in nature, but they can be successfully replaced by a subjective assessment of the perceived load, the so-called Borg scale (Foster et al., 2021) or Rate of perceived exertion - RPE.

Borg's scale is a very simple tool in which, on a scale from 6 to 20, participants have to circle the exact number that best corresponds to the perceived load. The smallest number 6 corresponds to 60 heartbeats, which is otherwise the frequency at rest (without effort), on the other hand, the number 20 is equivalent to 200 heartbeats per minute (maximum effort). The scale is very reliable for different types of exertion (Katsanos & Moffatt, 2005), it has been used on various samples, from athletes to recreational people (Lagally, McCaw, Young, Medema, & Thomas, 2004). The Perceived Effort Scale was designed in 1970 and is a good indicator of physical stress and work capacity (Borg, 1970). The scale is highly reliable for activities such as walking (Katsanos & Moffatt, 2005), hiking (Hagiwara & Yamamoto, 2011), and rowing (Connolly & Janelle, 2003; Marriott & Lamb, 1996). Borg's scale can easily be completed on a mountain, in a canyon, at sea or on a river. This paper aims to determine the level of perceived exertion of kinesiology students using the Borg scale on various recreational activities (mountaineering tours, rafting, kayaking and canyoning), and to analyze differences by gender and sports status. With this research, we should get a better insight into the load of recreational activities among kinesiology students, which can be useful in planning them.

METHODS

Participants

The sample of respondents are students of the Faculty of Kinesiology who enrolled in the Recreation course in the academic year 2023-2024. Of the 56 students who enrolled, those who did not go on at least two trips and those who did not fill out the surveys were excluded from the sample, resulting in a final sample of 47 students. Sports background of the respondents: rowing n=1, swimming n=1, athletics n=1, football n=15, basketball n=1, rhythmic gymnastics n=1, dance n=2, boxing n=2, judo n=1, MMA n=1, taekwondo n=1, kickboxing n=1, free climbing n=1, recreation n=4, fitness n=4, gym n=5, running in nature n=2. Respondents were divided into groups based on gender (women n=19 and men n=28), and sports status (former athlete/recreational athlete n=30 and active athlete/representative athlete n=17). All students participated in the research voluntarily and anonymously, a detailed description of the sample can be found in Table 1.

Variables

As part of the course, students were supposed to go on five different recreational activities: hiking Mosor (10 km, height 950 m), hiking Fortica (5.8 km, height 355 m), rafting (8 km), kayaking (13.4 km) and canyoning (2.7 km). Immediately after the activity, they were invited to fill out a short questionnaire on the level of perceived exertion (RPE - Rating scale of Perceived Exertion, Borg, 1970). In addition to sports and professional needs, the RPE scale is also used to assess the load of various recreational activities (Mangona, Brasil, Prista, & Farinatti, 2024).

The original Borg scale:

6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
No effort	Extremely light		Very light		Light		Somewhat hard		Hard		Very hard		Extremely hard	Maximum effort

Based on the aforementioned 5 variables in the research are: RPE Mosor, RPE Fortica, RPE Rafting, RPE Kayaking, RPE Kanjoning and the variables that describe the sample are: age of the subject (years), body mass (kg), body height (cm), body mass index mass BMI.

Data processing methods

The variables that describe the sample were processed with descriptive statistics (arithmetic mean - mean, standard deviation -

SD), and the differences between them were tested with the T test. Due to the small sample of some groups, the normality of the distribution was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk W test. Since most variables do not have a normal data distribution, further processing was performed using non-parametric statistical methods. Descriptive statistical parameters (median, mode, and mode frequency) were calculated for all groups, and differences by gender and sports status were tested with the Mann-Whitney U test. The level of statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Data processing was done with the help of the software package Statistica 13 for Windows.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Table 1. Descriptive statistical parameters (arithmetic mean – Mean, Standard deviation – SD) for variables describing the sample (age, body height, body mass and body mass index BMI) for all groups and differences between groups (T test)

	Female n=19		Male n=28		T test	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t-value	p
Age	20.58	1.39	20.68	1.12	-0.27	0.79
Body height (cm)	167.26	6.00	184.04	7.21	-8.36	<0.01
Body weight (kg)	61.21	6.88	81.00	9.58	-7.74	<0.01
BMI	21.89	2.32	23.84	1.60	-3.41	<0.01
	Former athletes/recreationists n=30		Active athletes n=17		T test	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t-value	p
Age	20.70	1.24	20.53	1.23	0.46	0.65
Body height (cm)	175.80	10.65	179.82	10.53	0.24	0.81
Body weight (kg)	71.60	14.12	75.47	10.68	0.41	0.68
BMI	22.95	2.42	23.23	1.55	0.82	0.42

From Table 1, we see that men and women differ statistically significantly in terms of body height, body weight and body mass index (BMI).

It is interesting that out of 47 kinesiology students, almost two-thirds of the students (n=30) are not active athletes. When asked what kind of sport they do, 15 students wrote: recreation n=4, fitness n=3, gym, and running in nature. Although it has nothing to do with

the topic, it is important to note that almost two-thirds of kinesiology students are not active athletes, and one-third do not know the difference between sports and recreation. One of the ideas in this paper was to separate groups of sports: aerobic, anaerobic, and combined, the idea could not be implemented due to the small number of athletes, the only possible division was by sports status (recreationist, former athlete, active athlete, national team member).

Table 2. Descriptive statistical parameters (median, mode and mode frequency) for all groups together and for groups separated by gender and sports status, normality of distribution (Shapiro-Wilk *W* test) and differences between these groups (Mann-Whitney *U* test).

Variables	All groups n=47				Shapiro-Wilk <i>W</i> test			
	<i>n</i>	Median	Mode	<i>F</i> <i>mode</i>	<i>W</i>	<i>p</i>		
RPE mosor*	43	very hard	very hard	14	0.94	0.04		
RPE fortica*	24	light	light	12	0.88	0.01		
RPE rafting*	25	light	light	10	0.91	0.03		
RPE kayaking*	26	light	light	9	0.92	0.04		
RPE canyoning	11	very hard	very hard	5	0.87	0.07		
	Female n=19			Male n=28			MWU	
	Median	Mode	<i>F</i> <i>mode</i>	Median	Mode	<i>F</i> <i>mode</i>	<i>Z</i>	<i>p</i>
RPE mosor	somewhat hard	somewhat hard	7	hard	very hard	9	-0.27	0.78
RPE fortica	light	light	9	somewhat hard	Multiple	3	-0.67	0.51
RPE rafting	light	light	6	light	light	4	-0.87	0.38
RPE kayaking	somewhat hard	light	4	light	light	5	1.7	0.09
RPE canyoning	light	Multiple	1	somewhat hard	somewhat hard	4	-1.02	0.31
	Former athletes/recreationists n=30			Active athletes n=17			<i>Z</i>	<i>p</i>
RPE mosor*	hard	very hard	9	somewhat hard	somewhat hard	6	103.5	<0.01
RPE fortica	light	light	11	light	Multiple	1	24.5	0.57
RPE rafting	light	light	6	light	light	4	44	0.26
RPE kayaking	light	light	7	light	somewhat hard	3	79.5	1.00
RPE canyoning	light	light	2	somewhat hard	somewhat hard	4	12	0.78

*Statistically significant difference $p < 0.01$; Multiple - there are more answers with the highest frequency

From table 2 we see that all variables except canyoning ($p=0.07$) do not have normally distributed data, there are no statistically significant differences between men and women in the level of perceived effort, only in the kayaking variable the difference is almost statistically significant ($p=0.09$). Active athletes perceived a lower load when

hiking on Mosor ($p < 0.01$) in contrast to non-athletes and recreational athletes.

Recreational activities in this paper are very different. In addition to the environment (mountain, sea, river...) the activities differ in the type of activity (rowing, walking), the extent and intensity of the activity itself. It is

interesting that in the total sample, hiking Mosor (10 km) and canyoning (2.7 km) were perceived as very hard (17 on Borg's scale), while the other activities were perceived as light (11 on Borg's scale). Mountaineering Mosor is the most difficult in terms of the distance traveled and the altitude overcome, but canyoning is not. Other studies classify canyoning between light activity and moderate activity (Loureiro, Pereira, Martins, & Brandao, 2023; Matos et al., 2019). We can assume that it is about the difficulty of the route (climbing, descending, swimming...) because the distance covered is only 2.7 km. We found significant differences in the perceived burden between the sexes, but the only variable that was almost significant ($p=0.09$) was kayaking. This finding is not surprising because men in kayaks show greater strength and efficiency while having lower heart rates (Gomes et al., 2012). The author further explains that energy consumption will be the same, but for women it will be more strenuous due to poorer adaptation to constant changes in aerobic and anaerobic regimes (Gomes et al., 2012). Another possible reason is the fact that men are better than women in terms of strength and endurance (Ryman Augustsson et al., 2009), and these abilities are essential in kayaking. From the aspect of sports status, a statistically significant difference is evident only in the most difficult activity,

Mountaineering Mosor, which is logical. Active athletes perceived this effort as somewhat hard (Borg scale 13) and former athletes as hard and very hard (Borg scale 15 – 17). As the most difficult activity offered, hiking Mosor requires serious physical fitness, physical fitness must be considered when planning such tours. People engage in recreational activities to improve their physical condition but also for pleasure. The motivation for recreational activities can be reduced if the feeling of comfort is compromised due to the perceived burden.

CONCLUSION

Most recreational activities are perceived as light to somewhat hard (Borg scale 11) in terms of workload, which is good since it is recreation. Strenuous and long-lasting activities are more appropriate for active athletes, while people with poor physical condition may perceive it as very hard (Borg scale 17), which can lead to a drop of motivation for further recreation. When planning kayaking tours for women it is important to be careful and consider that these activities require upper body strength and endurance, and longer tours can be too strenuous for them. Future research should include a larger population with some objective measures of workload to better suggest the type of recreation.

REFERENCES

- Balamutova, N. M. (2014). Motivational Priorities for Therapeutic Swimming for Women Engaged in Fitness and Health Recreation Activity. *Science and Education*(4), 7-U201. Retrieved from <Go to ISI>://WOS:000217850500001.
- Borg, G. (1970). Perceived exertion as an indicator of somatic stress. *Scandinavian journal of rehabilitation medicine*, 2(2), 92–98.
- Carter, J. M. (1977). *The outdoor recreation education programs in the six state universities of Kentucky*: Indiana University.
- Connolly, C., & Janelle, C. (2003). Attentional strategies in rowing: Performance, perceived exertion, and gender considerations. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 15(3), 195-212.

- Dohlsten, J., Barker-Ruchti, N., & Lindgren, E. C. (2021). Sustainable elite sport: Swedish athletes' voices of sustainability in athletics. *Qualitative Research in Sport Exercise and Health*, 13(5), 727-742. doi:10.1080/2159676x.2020.1778062.
- Foster, C., Boullousa, D., McGuigan, M., Fusco, A., Cortis, C., Arney, B. E., . . . Porcari, J. P. (2021). 25 Years of Session Rating of Perceived Exertion: Historical Perspective and Development. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 16(5), 612-621. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2020-0599.
- Gomes, B. B., Mourao, L., Massart, A., Figueiredo, P., Vilas-Boas, J. P., Santos, A. M. C., & Fernandes, R. J. (2012). Gross Efficiency and Energy Expenditure in Kayak Ergometer Exercise. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 33(8), 654-660. doi:10.1055/s-0032-1301907.
- Gómez-Carmona, C. D., Bastida-Castillo, A., Ibáñez, S. J., & Pino-Ortega, J. (2020). Accelerometry as a method for external workload monitoring in invasion team sports. A systematic review. *PloS one*, 15(8), e0236643.
- Hagiwara, M., & Yamamoto, M. (2011). Systematic Evaluation of the Physiological Load of Mountain Climbing From the Relation Between Inclination of Walking Path, Walking Speed, and Backpack Weight; Simulation Using Walking on a Treadmill. *Japanese Journal of Physical Fitness and Sports Medicine*, 60(3), 327-341. doi:10.7600/jspfm.60.327.
- Katsanos, C. S., & Moffatt, R. J. (2005). Reliability of heart rate responses at given ratings of perceived exertion in cycling and walking. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 76(4), 433-439. Retrieved from <Go to ISI>://WOS:000234002900007.
- Lagally, K. M., McCaw, S. T., Young, G. T., Medema, H. C., & Thomas, D. Q. (2004). Ratings of perceived exertion and muscle activity during the bench press exercise in recreational and novice lifters. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 18(2), 359-364. Retrieved from <Go to ISI>://WOS:000221658100028.
- Lee, I. M., & Paffenbarger, R. S. (2000). Associations of light, moderate, and vigorous intensity physical activity with longevity - The Harvard Alumni Health Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 151(3), 293-299. Retrieved from <Go to ISI>://WOS:000085232200011.
- Loureiro, A., Pereira, J., Martins, S. R., & Brandao, A. (2023). Investigation into the dynamics of internal and external intensities during a tourist canyoning season. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 23(11), 3127-3141.
- Mangona, L., Brasil, I. A., Prista, A., & Farinatti, P. (2024). Energy expenditure, intensity, and perceived effort in recreational functional training. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 95(1), 81-90.
- Marriott, H. E., & Lamb, K. L. (1996). The use of ratings of perceived exertion for regulating exercise levels in rowing ergometry. *European journal of applied physiology and occupational physiology*, 72, 267-271.
- Matos, S., Clemente, F. M., Brandão, A., Pereira, J., Rosemann, T., Nikolaidis, P. T., & Knechtle, B. (2019). Training load, aerobic capacity and their relationship with wellness status in recreational trail runners. *Frontiers in physiology*, 10, 1189.

Nigg, B., Denoth, J., & Neukomm, P. (1981). The load on the lower extremities in selected sports activities. In *Studies in Environmental Science* (Vol. 13, pp. 190-199): Elsevier.

Ryman Augustsson, S., Bersås, E., Magnusson Thomas, E., Sahlberg, M., Augustsson, J., & Svantesson, U. (2009). Gender differences and reliability of selected physical performance tests in young women and men. *Advances in Physiotherapy*, 11(2), 64-70.

Thomsen, J. M., Powell, R. B., & Monz, C. (2018). A Systematic Review of the Physical and Mental Health Benefits of Wildland Recreation. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 36(1), 123-148. doi:10.18666/jpra-2018-v36-i1-8095.